

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**




# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,806	12/26/2001	Thomas J. Bonola	1662-49800 JMH (P98-2417)	6235
22879	7590	08/09/2004	EXAMINER	
HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			INOA, MIDYS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2188	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No. 10/037,806	Applicant(s) BONOLA, THOMAS J. 	
	Examiner Midys Inoa	Art Unit 2188	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-30 and 32-38 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-30 and 32-38 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 December 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 12-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Trainin et al. (US 2002/0144073).

Regarding Claim 12, Trainin discloses a method of managing a heap memory comprising:

maintaining unused blocks of heap memory as a linked list, and wherein the unused blocks of the linked list comprise a first block at a beginning of the linked list, a second block pointed to the first block, and a third block at an end of the linked list (Figure 4);

removing, by a software stream, the first block from the linked list, thus making the second block the beginning of the linked list (“memory block from the heap is allocated and removed from the linked list of free blocks”, Page 3, Paragraph 36); and

returning a return block, by a hardware device that used the return block, to the linked list by placing the return block at the end of the linked list (“freed blocks are returned to the linked list”, Page 3, Paragraph 38), **wherein non-free blocks are allocated to tasks being performed by a hardware device using the memory (Page 3, paragraph 0032) and newly freed blocks are freed by the hardware device that was using them once they are no longer in use; in**

**freeing these blocks, the return to the linked list is initiated, therefore, the hardware device that was using the blocks initiates the return to the linked list.**

Regarding Claim 13, Trainin discloses a method for placing a freed block of a heap memory at the top of a linked list (first end of the linked list) and modifying the same method to place the block of heap memory at the bottom of the linked list (second end of the linked list). To perform this task,

- a "null" pointer must be written in the next block field of the return block of the heap memory;

- the block number of the return block of heap memory must be writing in the next block field of a last block of heap memory in the linked list;

- and the contents of the bottom register must be changed to point to the return block of heap memory.

These changes allow for the new block of heap memory to be properly placed as the last entry of the linked list (page 3, paragraph 38).

Regarding Claims 14-15, Trainin discloses the method of allocating blocks from a heap memory and removing such block from the linked lists by:

- determining a block number of the primary block;

- reading a next block field of the primary block of memory ("next free block address");

- and removing the primary block if the next block field of the primary block does not indicate a null (Page 3, paragraph 37).

This system checks if the next block field of the primary block is a "null", indicating that this is the last block of the linked list, prior to removing a block of heap memory from the

Art Unit: 2188

linked list. Additionally, when removing the top block of the heap memory, the new top block must be adjusted to point to the top of the heap ("writing the block number of the second block to the top register"). In determining the block number of the primary block, this block number must be read from the top register in the linked list.

Regarding Claims 16-18, Trainin discloses a method for placing a freed (fourth) block of a heap memory at the top of a linked list (beginning of the linked list). To accomplish this, the system has to

read a top register, the top register identifying the beginning of the linked list ("address of the first free block");

write the block number the block identified by the top register to a next block field of the freed block of heap memory ("next free block address is updated to point to the former first free block");

and write the block number of the freed block to a top register.

These changes allow for the new block of heap memory (fourth block) to be properly placed as the first entry of the linked list (page 3, paragraph 38).

Regarding Claim 19, Trainin discloses a method of managing a heap memory in a computer system, the method comprising: allowing a software thread to add and remove blocks of heap memory from a linked list of free blocks of heap memory in a last-in/first-out fashion at a first end of the linked list (memory blocks allocated for use and removed from the linked list... when freed, are returned to the linked list, Page 3, paragraph 32-38); and allowing a hardware device that uses blocks of heap memory to add blocks the of heap memory to the linked list of free blocks of heap memory at a second end of the linked list, **wherein non-free blocks are**

**allocated to tasks being performed by a hardware device using the memory (Page 3, paragraph 0032) and newly freed blocks are freed by the hardware device that was using them once they are no longer in use; in freeing these blocks, the return to the linked list is initiated, therefore, the hardware device that was using the blocks initiates the return to the linked list.**

Trainin discloses the ability to return and remove blocks from both ends of the linked list. When performing both removal and return operations at the same end of the linked list, the linked list is behaving in a LIFO fashion. It is understood that in the process of returning and removing blocks from the linked list, both hardware (such as buses for transfer of data) and software (such as allocation software) are used.

Regarding Claims 20-21, Trainin discloses the method of managing a heap memory in a computer system wherein allowing a software thread to remove blocks of heap memory in LIFO fashion further comprises:

determining, by the software thread, a block number of a block of heap memory at the first end of the linked list ("first free block");

and removing the block of heap memory at the first end of the linked list (Page 3, paragraph 39).

In determining the block number of the first free block of the linked lists, this number is read from the linked list (Paragraphs 32-39).

Regarding Claim 22, Trainin discloses a method of managing a heap memory in a computer system wherein removing the block of heap memory at the first end of the linked list further comprises:

reading a next block field of the block of heap memory at the first end of the linked list to identify a block number of a next block in the linked list ("next free block address");

and writing the block number of the next block in the linked list to the beginning register (Page 3-4, Paragraphs 32-42).

Regarding Claim 23-25, Trainin discloses a method of managing a heap memory in a computer system wherein allowing a software thread to add blocks of heap memory in LIFO fashion further comprises:

determining, by the software thread, a block number of a block of heap memory at the first end of the linked list ("first free block of the linked list");

writing the block number of the block of heap memory at the first end of the linked list to a next block field of a return block of heap memory ("the next free block address is updated to point to the former first free block")

and making the return block of heap memory the first end of the linked list.

These changes allow for the new block of heap memory to be properly placed as the first entry of the linked list (page 3, paragraph 38). In determining the block number of the first free block of the linked list, this data must be read from the linked list.

Regarding Claims 26-28, Trainin discloses a method for placing a freed block of heap memory at the beginning of a linked list. In addition, Trainin discloses that the same method, only modified can be used to place the block of heap memory at the end of the linked list. In reversing this method, the system must:

determine, by reading, a block number of a block of heap memory the second end of the linked list;



write a block number of a return block of heap memory to a next block field of the block of heap memory at the second end of the linked list;

and making the return block of heap memory the second end of the linked list (Page 3, Paragraph 38).

*Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 3-11, 29-30, and 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trainin et al. (US 2002/0144073) in view of Roohparvar et al. (6,504,768).

Regarding Claims 1 and 7, Trainin et al. discloses:

performing, by a software stream, heap memory operations on a first end of a linked list of free heap memory of a heap pile ("block from heap is allocated for use and removed from the linked list...").

and returning, by a hardware device that used the return block, a return block of heap memory to the heap pile at a second end of the linked list of free heap memory ("when no longer needed, it is freed and returned to the linked list"), **wherein non-free blocks are allocated to tasks being performed by a hardware device using the memory (Page 3, paragraph 0032) and newly freed blocks are freed by the hardware device that was using them once they are no longer in use; in freeing these blocks, the return to the linked list is initiated, therefore, the hardware device that was using the blocks initiates the return to the linked list.**

In this system allocation software is used to allocate the blocks that are being removed from the linked list. Additionally, hardware components are also used in the transferring of the data in the blocks. In this case, the first end of the heap is the bottom of the heap, and the second end is the beginning of the heap (Page 3, paragraphs 36- 38).

Trainin et al. does not teach concurrently returning a block of heap memory to the heap pile while heap operations are being performed on the other end of the linked list.

Roohparvar et al. discloses improving memory access speeds by performing operations in a concurrent manner (Column 2, lines 9-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the invention of Trainin et al. so that the allocation and returning of blocks to the heap are done concurrently since doing so would save processing time and make the system more versatile.

Regarding Claim 3, Trainin discloses a method for placing a freed block of a heap memory at the top of a linked list (first end of the linked list) and modifying the same method to place the block of heap memory at the bottom of the linked list (second end of the linked list). To perform this task,

a "null" pointer must be written in the next block field of the return block of the heap memory;

the block number of the return block of heap memory must be writing in the next block field of a last block of heap memory in the linked list;

and the contents of the bottom register must be changed to point to the return block of heap memory.

These changes allow for the new block of heap memory to be properly placed as the last entry of the linked list (page 3, paragraph 38).

Regarding Claim 4, Trainin discloses a method for placing a freed block of a heap memory at the top of a linked list (first end of the linked list) and modifying the same method to place the block of heap memory at the bottom of the linked list (second end of the linked list). This method can be used to return block of heap memory and place it at the first end (top) of the linked list. In placing this block at the top of this linked list, this block will be the first block available for use (Page 3, paragraph 38).

Regarding Claim 5, Trainin discloses a method for placing a freed block of a heap memory at the top of a linked list (first end of the linked list). To accomplish this, the system has to

determine the block number of a primary block of heap memory resident at the first end of the linked list (top of the linked list...“address of the first free block”);

write the block number of the primary block of heap memory to a next block field of the freed block of heap memory (“next free block address is updated to point to the former first free block”);

and write the block number of the freed block to a top register.

These changes allow for the new block of heap memory to be properly placed as the first entry of the linked list (page 3, paragraph 38).

Regarding Claim 8, Trainin discloses a method for placing a freed block of a heap memory at the top of a linked list (first end of the linked list), modifying the same method to place the block of heap memory at the bottom of the linked list (second end of the linked list)

and another method for allocating blocks from the heap for use and removing them from the linked lists. Trainin's allocation and removal method can also be modified to remove blocks from the bottom of the linked lists. Therefore, Trainin discloses the method of removing heap memory from the linked list heap management system by taking a primary block of heap memory resident at the first end of the of the linked list (Paragraphs 3 6-40).

Regarding Claims 6 and 10, Trainin discloses setting the new block's "next free block address" to that of the primary block's address; in order to do this, the address of the primary block (former first block) must be read by the system (Page 3, paragraph 38). In determining the block number of a primary block (former first block) of heap memory resident at the first end of the linked list, the top register is read prior to writing the block number of the second block.

Regarding Claims 9 and 11, Trainin discloses the method of allocating blocks from a heap memory and removing such block from the linked lists by:

- determining a block number of the primary block;
- reading a next block field of the primary block of memory ("next free block address");
- and removing the primary block if the next block field of the primary block does not indicate a null (Page 3, paragraph 37).

This system checks if the next block field of the primary block is a "null", indicating that this is the last block of the linked list, prior to removing a block of heap memory from the linked list. Additionally, when removing the top block of the heap memory, the new top block must be adjusted to point to the top of the heap ("writing the block number of the second block to the top register"). In determining the block number of the primary block, this block number must be read from the top register in the linked list.

Art Unit: 2188

Regarding Claims 29 and 32, Trainin discloses a computer system comprising:

a microprocessor executing a software stream;

a main memory array, a portion of the main memory array allocated to be a heap memory, and wherein unused portions of the heap memory are part of a heap pile, the heap pile further comprising (Figure 4 and Page 3, paragraphs 32-36)

a plurality of blocks (see Figure 4);

each block having a next block field ("next free block address");

and wherein the heap pile is maintained as a linked list, each block's next block field pointing to a next block in the list (paragraphs 36-38);

a first bridge logic device coupling the microprocessor to the main memory array;

a hardware device coupled to the heap memory through the first bridge logic device;

wherein the software stream executed on the microprocessor removes blocks of heap memory from a beginning of the heap pile (allocated blocks are removed from the linked list, paragraph 36);

and the hardware device returns blocks of heap memory to an end of the heap pile (freed blocks are returned to the linked list, paragraph 38), **wherein non-free blocks are allocated to tasks being performed by a hardware device using the memory (Page 3, paragraph 0032) and newly freed blocks are freed by the hardware device that was using them once they are no longer in use; in freeing these blocks, the return to the linked list is initiated, therefore, the hardware device that was using the blocks initiates the return to the linked list.**

In this system allocation software is used to allocate the blocks that are being removed from the linked list. Hardware components are also used in the transferring of the data in the

blocks; such as buses. In this case, the first end of the heap is the bottom of the heap, and the second end is the beginning of the heap (Page 3, paragraphs 36- 38).

Trainin et al. does not teach simultaneously returning a block of heap memory to the heap pile while heap operations are being performed on the other end of the linked list.

Roohparvar et al. discloses improving memory access speeds by performing memory operations in a concurrent manner (Column 2, line 9-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change the invention of Trainin et al. so that the allocation and returning of blocks to the heap are done concurrently since doing so would save processing time and make the system more versatile. Additionally, bridges are commonly used for the connection of devices.

Regarding Claim 30, Trainin discloses the computer system wherein plurality of blocks can each have the same number of bytes or could be combined to form larger blocks of heap memory (Page 3, Paragraph 39).

Regarding Claims 33-38, Trainin discloses allocating memory blocks in the heap for use in any task involving any of many hardware devices within a computer system. Therefore, the hardware device in question could be a graphics card, a network card, an audio card, a hard drive, or any other storage device or computer component (Page 3, paragraph 33)

#### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed on July 12<sup>th</sup>, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Trainin does not disclose returning the block of heap memory to the heap pile by a hardware device that used the block of heap memory. In Trainin's system non-

Art Unit: 2188

free blocks are allocated to tasks being performed by a hardware device using the memory (Page 3, paragraph 0032) and newly freed blocks are freed by the hardware device that was using them once they are no longer in use; in freeing these blocks, the return to the linked list is initiated, therefore, the hardware device that was using the blocks initiates the return to the linked list.

### *Conclusion*

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Midys Inoa whose telephone number is (703) 305-7850. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mano Padmanabhan can be reached on (703) 306-2903. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*Midys Inoa*  
Midys Inoa  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2188

MI

*Mano Padmanabhan*  
8/8/04

MANO PADMANABHAN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER